reviewed paper

Different or Alike? Motivation to Participate and Social Influence in Online Discussions by Age and Gender

Sofia Sahab, Jawad Haqbeen, Takayuki Ito

(Specially Appointed Researcher Sofia Sahab, Kyoto University, Kyoto Japan, sahab.sofia.4h@kyoto-u.ac.jp) (Jawad Haqbeen, Doctoral Student, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Nagoya, Japan, jawad.haqbeen@itolab.nitech.ac.jp) (Professor Takayuki Ito, Kyoto University, Kyoto Japan, ito@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

1 ABSTRACT

While the use of incentive mechanismsto stimulate efficient communication and collaboration among individuals in online platforms, groups and communities has been widely documented and discussed, comparatively little is known about the influence of age and gender on the motives for participation in these platforms and how responses may vary based on these personal attributes in less developed countries like Afghanistan. In this paper, we examined if the age and gender of the respondents affect the kinds of incentives that stimulate their effective participation in online discussions and if such online discussions have a social influence on participantspresented with such incentives. To this end, we firstrun an idea contest project, using a real word online discussion forumcalled D-Agree in collaboration with Kabul Municipality (KM). Weincorporated different incentive schemes which yielded 3,892 respondents/participants whocumulatively exchanged14,587 opinions and responses on the platform. This enabled us to conduct a post discussion survey, usingSurveyMonkey where 201 respondents participated. We demonstrate that financial (e.g. monetary reward) and social (e.g. fulfilling the desire to learn and improve one's abilities, knowledge and experience)motives consistently outperform all other incentivesas motivators of participation in Afghanistan. The results of this work demonstrate that males and females are influenced by similar incentives to participate in online discussions. As for the social influence of participating in online discussions, the results show that respondents were affected from a moderate level to a great deal, butwith no significant differences based on age and gender. The output of this research might open a new direction in the study of social influence based on incentive mechanisms. This could be used as a planning tool to stimulate participation in forums/platforms for crowdsourcing.

Keywords: Idea contest, Civic engagement, Extrinsic motivation, Online discussion, Online participation

2 INTRODUCTION

The emergence of computer mediated communications over the past few decades has dramatically changed many people's social lives (Sun et al. 2011). In particular, online forums have become a major medium for facilitating large-scale discussions on various issues ranging from personal, family, societal to governance. Online communities, which are usually divided into smaller groups within these forums, might act collectively in ways that seem intelligent (Malone et al. 2009). Thus, online forumshave attracted research attention as an approach to discourse-centric collective intelligence to offer innovative solutions for improving critical social problems (Hagbeen et al. 2021). Online forums provide efficient tools for eliciting participation in crowdsourcing projects and policy-making. While some discussions in online forums may be very specific(e.g. discussions of climate change issues in Climate CoLab platform or urban-related SDGs in D-Agree platform), some othersmay be general social platforms with unrestricted focus (e.g. discussing travelexperiences in TripAdvisor or sharing social experiences about one's self, family, career or pressing social problems such as insecurity, public health issue like the global CoronaVirus pandemic, unemployment and inequality on Facebook). Unlike the discussions in conventional forums where people just share their experiences and communicate with one anotherthrough citizen-initiative interactivities, participants in specific purpose discussion forums focus on solving particular thematic social problem, such as climate change (Introne et al. 2011; Klein 2007), challenges of urban planning and enforcement(Haqbeen et al. 2020a; Haqbeen et al. 2020b) orthe CoronaVirus pandemic (COVID-19)(Haqbeen et al. 2020c; Haqbeen et al. 2020d). These forums foster democratic deliberations aimed at generating innovative solutions to improve the society in line with the United Nations' sustainable development goals (SDGs)by focusing on solving specific social problems.

Users in online discussion forums may be autonomous individuals, but they often participate in discussions as collectives. The insights and intelligence from these collectives cannot be explained by aggregation of individual intelligence(Woolley et al. 2010) and being part of these collectives seems intelligent (Malone et

281

al. 2009).However, in online forums, the level of interactivitymay be low due to the distributed and asynchronous nature of online discussions[Tavanapour et al. 2019]. As a result, peoplemay not actively take part in discussions as collectives. Therefore, in order to encourage people to actively discuss in an online environment, incentive mechanismssuch as discussion gamification (Dai et al. 2016), virtual incentive(Takahashi et al. 2016), scoring systems and automated facilitationhave been developed (Ito et al. 2020).

In view of the foregoing, different type of artificial intelligent (AI)-enabled facilitation such as argumentative facilitation (Hadfi et al. 2021); discussion visualisation and incentive mechanisms (ranking system, gamification) have been introduced as extrinsic motivation solutions for online discussions with a view to stimulating interactivity and boosting users' intrinsic motivation. Specifically, AI-enabled facilitators and discussion scoring systems can spur interest in the discussions and help individuals to interact with one another. The online discussions which utilizes a scoring system is proposed to enhance the various intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors that are directly proportional to participants'engagement(Ito et al. 2014; Takahashi et al. 2016). Gamification, which includes point-based systems and virtual rewards, acts as a strategy toincrease the contributions of members involved in online communities and discussions (Takahashi et al. 2016).

Studies show that both intrinsic and extrinsic motives affect individuals' decision to participate in these online platforms and communities and their level of interactivity with other individuals. In online discussion forums, users are motivated to take part in discussions when observing other users' participation (Sun et al. 2011). This influence may be strengthened by incentive mechanisms such as gamification, ranking system facilitation or other factors. However, little is known about what types of extrinsic incentivesinfluencepeople to participate in online asynchronous discussions in lessdeveloped countries like Afghanistan and if the effect of these motivators is dependent on the ages and gender of participants. Therefore, this study contributes towards filling this knowledge gap by highlighting the factors that facilitate the collaboration and interactivity of individuals in online environments.In this paper, we explored how extrinsic motivators influence participation and level of interactivity in online discussions and if these motivators differ for different ages and genderof respondents.The findings of this study may be useful for the providers of crowd discussion support platforms and "crowd-sourcers" in selecting appropriate incentives for crowdsourcing in Afghanistan and elsewhere.

2.1 Problem statement

A fundamental concern when implementing crowdsourcing is how to meaningfully engage participants. Crowdsourcing involves engaging anonline community or multiple communitiesthrough online forums in order to harness the knowledge of citizens into the process of policy-makingby an interested party, individual or organization (Brabham 2008). However, crowdsourcing initiatives may be marred by lack of interest, asynchronous discussions, and low participation rate if adequate or best-fit incentives are not incorporated. Therefore, crowdsourcers must not only introduce extrinsic motivators in their crowdsourcing initiatives, but also, such incentives must resonate with the personal and social attributes of targetsin order to increase their chances of participating in discussion forums and policy dialogues. In reality, however, identifying the right mix of incentives that could spur participation in online discussion forums remains a daunting challenge.

On the other hand, not much attention has beenpaid to the social influence of active participation in online discussions on people and if the social influence of such participation variesbased on the ages and gender of participants. Social influencerefers to the process through which people change their minds, modify their views, or change their behaviour as a result of their social interactions with others(Moussaïd et al. 2013). Thus, there is a need to identify various motivational beliefs, as well as gender and age-related behavioural attitudes toward online discussions.

2.2 Motivation and incentives

Motivation arises through interaction among different motives and incentives in a particular situation (Atkinson 1958). Motivation is mainly classified into two: intrinsic and extrinsic (Hossain 2012a). Intrinsic motivation refers to the motivation that isdriven by the tasks, so that individuals do not require external pressure(extrinsic motivation) to activate it. According to Lepper et al. (1973)people are intrinsically motivated if the task itself is enough for satisfaction and there is no further reward apart from the activity.



However, extrinsic motivation is driven by external incentives. Factors such as curiosity or interest are examples of intrinsic motivators while extrinsic motivators could be financial, social and organisational. Low motivational levels presented by participants in discussions degrade the discourse's collective intelligence and result in a lack of interest to collaborate with one another. When the motives differfrom the actual activity, external factors such as money, fame, prize etc. prompt motivation (Hossain 2012b).

In online discussions, both intrinsic and extrinsic motivators areneeded to influence participants' engagement (Haqbeen et al. 2021).Sincemotivation is considered a process to release, control, and maintain discussion activities, it is imperative to know the factors that motivate people to participate. Having insights about different incentives helps to boost the motivation participants to engage in and contribute meaningfully to online discussions, even though participation in such discussions mayimpose some constraints on their time and other resources.

To do this, we adopted the concept of discussion scoring and ranking system as virtual gamification and linked it with financial and social rewards in the online discussions. D-Agree ranks the participants based on their virtual points which are in turn assessed through postings, replies, and likes, or received likes and replies from other participants. We proposed a monetary reward of 30k, 20k and 15k Afs (\approx 385, 257 and 192 USD) for top three and certificates to top ten participants, respectively at the end of the contest project. The cash prizes and certificates to the top three and ten discussants, respectively (female = 1 and male = 9) were given by the Mayor of Kabul City at an official ceremony (see link to the award ceremony at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xdhZYJo_8nQ.

3 METHODOLOGY

We used an onlinediscussion forum based on facilitation. This was followed by an online survey, which sought information from respondents on what motivated themto participate inonline discussions in order to assess the effects of the proposed incentiveson their participation. We focused only on respondents' datagenerated from survey forum in this study.

3.1 Study area

The current study used an open call idea (Brabham 2008) to shed light on motivation factors in online communities in Afghanistan. The open online call was chosen due to itsaccessibility given the representation of Afghan citizens in online communities both in Afghanistan and other parts of the world. Respondentswere asked to join specific discussion spaces based on their residential areas and preferred time. We created 24 virtual spaces, 22 for Kabul city, comprising 22 urban districts, 1 for the 34 provinces of Afghanistan and one for Afghans in the diaspora.

3.2 Sample and sampling method

We used D-Agree as the discussion forum and SurveyMonkey as our survey instrument for this study.First, we used D-Agree, a text-based online discussion platform, which is anchored on support and facilitation means like artificial facilitation, incentive mechanism, ranking system and content visualisation developed by our team for hosting large-scale discussions or deliberations (Ito et al. 2020). The users in online communities were asked to join specific discussion spaces based on their residential areas and preferred time. The call for participation was made using open idea call techniques of convenience sampling.The call to participate was posted on Kabul Municipality's Facebook Page. KM's official Facebook page has 382,000 followers as of July 6, 2021. Thus, the link was available worldwide and could beviewed by anybody with an internet connection. The online discussion social experimenttook place from August 13 to October 02, 2020 and generated 14,587 opinions from 3,892 registered participants.

Following the online discussions, using D-Agree, we used SurveyMonkey as a post-discussion survey instrument to assess if the incentives introduced within the online discussions had any effect on their interest to engage in the discussion activities as well as their opinions and behaviourin the course of the discussion and after. SurveyMonkeyis a cloud-based software used by researchers to collect data on various issues of interest to them. Itspecialises in online surveysand rapid production results (Halim et al. 2018).We used a licensed version of the software to design our questionnaire survey and sent the link to all who participated in the online discussions, using D-Agree. 201 participants (female = 49; 24.3% and male = 152; 75.6%) participated in the survey.

283

3.3 Discussions and survey instrument

A divergence discussion phase was selected for online discussion of this study. Discussion at D-Agree is divided into the following three phases: divergence, convergence, and evaluation. Divergence phase was chosen because we can collect a wide variety of opinions on the discussion themes. (Haqbeen et al. 2021). We selected seven discussion topics or raisedquestions and discussed possible solutions to the challenge ofsolid waste management (SWM) inKabul city.Each of the seven themes covering different aspects of SWM lasted for one week. Based on participants' engagement with these themes, they were scored and ranked by the system in real-time within their related groups. They all discussed the same topics within the same time while using different spaces.

A closed-ended questionnaire was used to elicit responses during post online discussions. The questionnaire was divided into different sections, comprising questions on: (i) personal and demographic information; (ii) motivations for participating in online discussions; and (iii) effect of social influence from online discussions on knowledge and behavioural change. The two main questions related to the dependent variables were presented, using multiple-choice and Likert-scale. These questions were phrased thus: "What motivated you to participate in online discussions?" and "Didparticipation in online discussions affect your thoughts and result in behaviour change?" The first question contained 7 items related to preferred motives (multiple-choice), while the second was a five-point Likert-scale-type question.

3.4 Datasets

The survey data was digitised and analysed with the help of STATA/SE 16.1. There was no missing data, whether user and system-based. Indeed, the response rate from the 201 respondents was 100 percent. A reliability test was conducted to ensure that the data had internal consistency.Regarding the validity of the analyses of the data generated throughLikert-scale-type questions, we used mean values and t-tests. In addition,descriptive analysis enabled us to disentangle the demographic characteristics of the respondents and their means of preferred motives.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Demographics of the sample

Table 1 shows the characteristics of respondents who responded to the SurveyMonkey questionnaireused for this study. There were 49 (24.38%) females compared to males which accounted for 76 percent of total respondents in this study. Many factors could explain the low representation of women in the survey. First, literacy rate among Afghan women is abysmally low at 29.8 percent compared to that of men, which stands at 55.48 percent(World Bank 2018). Second, reports from the Afghanistan Communication and InformationTechnology Ministry (MCIT) statistics indicate that access to internet and smartphones is lower among women (smartphone users = 32%; access to internet = 39%) compared to men (smartphone users = 68%; access to internet = 61%). Note that this comparison is based on all internet users which only constitute 11.4% of Afghanistan's population to the physical space.Finally, social and cultural barriers such as the pressure of care and domestic work may adversely affect the time that women could devote to online networking and discussions (Sahab et al. 2016). These factors cumulatively exclude women from meaningful engagement in economic, social, and political spheres of life compared to men (Breath, 2012).

The highest number of respondents (n = 90; 44.78%) out of all the six age groups were 25-34 years old. This finding may be explained by the demographic composition of the Afghan population, with a large representation of young people (NSIA, 2021). It may also be due to the stylised fact that young people (millennials) tend to be more technologically curious and savvy than older generations (Volkom et al. 2013). Most respondents (n =78; 38.815) held a bachelor degree. This may have been informed by our use of an online survey forum which tends to be biased in favour of educated individuals, compared to the use of conventional survey tools during field visitations (Sahab et al., 2015, 2016). Most respondents were employed (65.98%) while a considerable number of them were still in school (20.10%).



4.2 Respondent's motives for participating in online discussions

The analysed results on respondents' motivations for participating in online discussions are shown in Fig. 1. The results showing the age-specific motivations of the respondents are shown in Fig. 2. Finally, the results on the gender-specific motivations for participating in online discussions are shown in Fig. 3.

		Participant	Percentage
Valid		201	100.0
Excluded		0	0.0
Age	18 to 24	27	13.43
	25 to 34	90	44.78
	35 to 44	65	32.34
	45 to 54	13	6.47
	55 to 64	5	2.49
	≥65	1	0.50
Gender	Female	49	24.38
	Male	152	75.62
Education	Less than High School	2	1.00
	High School	28	13.93
	College	53	26.37
	Bachelor	78	38.81
	Master's	34	16.92
	PhD or Higher	6	2.99
Employment	Government Employed	18	9.28
	Private Sector Employed	48	24.74
	Self Employed	62	31.96
	Retired	4	2.06
	Not Employed	23	11.86
	Student	39	20.10

Table 1. Characteristics of the respondents for the current study (n = 201).

The analysis of the motivation to participate was predicated on multiple response questions, as mentioned in Section 2.2. Cash prize for winners (66.67%) and certificate for winners (50.75%) were the first and second preferred motivators, respectively, for participating in online discussions among the respondents from a list of seven motivators suggested in the questionnaire (Fig. 1). However, the motivations for participating in online discussions do not significantly differ based onrespondents'age groups or gender (Figs 2-3).











Fig. 2: Motivational factors to participate by age groups

Fig. 3: Motivational factors to participate by Gender

4.3 Social influence of participants and overall discussion

The analysed results on the influence of other participants in online discussions are shown in Fig. 4, while those related to the prospect of attitudinal and behavioural change in relation to SWM due to participation in online discussions are shown in Fig. 5.

To understand the influence, we used two single choice questions. We asked participants if they were influenced by other participants' opinions and if the discussions brought any changes in their behaviour regarding waste management. We demonstrate that the opinions of other participants expressed in online discussions had a significant influence on the participants, as alluded to by 51.24 percent of the respondents who reported a great deal or a lot influence. Another 46.27 percent fthem claimed to be moderately influenced by other participants' opinions, while only 2.49 percent reported little or no influence of online discussions on their opinionstoward SWM (Fig. 4). However, there was no evidence that the age or gender of respondents affected their perception of the influence of online discussions on their opinions. The results of the question about the behaviour change (Fig.5) was similar to that of influence of online discussions had changed their behaviours a great deal or a lot, while 43. 28 percentopined that their behaviourshad moderately changed. However, 4.98 percent of the respondents believed that their behaviour had changedonly a little or remained unchanged. Like the reciprocal influence of the opinions of other participants in the online discussions, we did not find any significant difference in behavioural change towards SWM based on respondents'ages and gender.





Fig. 4: (top) Overall influence of other participants' opinions in the online discussion, (bottom) Influence of other participants opinions by age and gender



Fig. 5: (Top) Overall behaviour change toward solid waste management due to participation in the discussions, (bottom) behaviour change on solid waste management caused by age and gender.

5 CONCLUSION

This paper proposes a municipal idea contest project, to discuss a real urban issue of Kabul City, solid waste management (SWM), using our proposed online forum in an incentivized setting. Our research project's main approach was not only harnessing the wisdom of crowd for offering SWM innovative solutions to KM, but

287

also, we aimed at identifying the factors as motives that are most likely to stimulate user's participation, and their engagement in activities in online discussions. In particular, we designed a questionnaire as a post-discussion survey instrument and called all subjects who had taken part in the municipal idea contest project online discussion to take part.

According to online survey (201 respondents who had taken part in both online discussion and post discussion survey), the financial (66.67%) and social (50.75%) incentives were the two primary motivators of respondents' decision to participate in online discussions of all the seven suggested motivators in the study. This demonstrates that the use of incentives (monetary and social rewards) in asynchronous online discussions could facilitate interactivity and mutual exchange of opinionsamong users. We also showed that these motivators do not significantly differ based on respondents' age groups or gender. Finally, our study shows that the social influence of online discussions on participants ranges from moderate to a great deal, but this effect does not vary significantly based on participants' age groups or gender. These findings offer promising prospects for scholars, researchers and policy makerson how best to engage people in mutually beneficial online discussion platforms. Moreover, like the KM's initiative to improve SWM which has been the focus of this study, efforts to track and change citizens'knowledge, attitudes and behaviours towards progressive dimensions through advocacy could benefit from the findings of this study.

After all, this is the first study of its kind conducted to offer an innovative solution for Kabul city SWM which was established through crowd and the medium of online discussion support platform. Considering the cross-sectoral aspect of harnessing the wisdom of crowd, the finding of this study will contribute to conducting crowdsourcing projects to many other areas of Kabul City such as water, transportation and the environmental issues.

5.1 Limitations and future perspectives

The main limitation of our study is perhaps the use of open and convenience call for post-discussion survey. Although it may have become popular as a formal method of accessingrespondents (female and males) and collecting data on various issues, the fact that Afghans tend to participate in incentivized tasks in online communities made the sample collection a bit difficult for post-discussion survey. The post-discussion survey could also benefit from incentives. In addition, Afghan males tend to predominate in online communities made the sample a bit biased in their favour compared to females due to sociocultural constraints on women's use of time and networking. Therefore, we hope to consider including two equal sample strata (splits) to female and male respondents, using a stratified random sampling technique in our future work. Furthermore, we believe that the skewed sample in favour of highly educated respondents constitute a limitation to the representativeness of our sample. Therefore, we shall also endeavour to boost our samples to incorporate respondents with different levels of education, especially as waste management issues, the focus of our online discussions in this study is generally presumed to be more pressing among poorer and less educated population. Finally, we plan to conduct further experiments, using two groups of respondents: a controlled group without the prospect of benefiting from any incentive mechanism for participating in online discussions and a treatment group with the prospects of benefiting from incentive mechanisms for participating in the discussions. This will enable us to provide a more robust statistical evidence on the actual determinants of participation in online discussions based on the data generated from the post-discussions survey.

6 **REFERENCES**

- ANDREW BEATH, FOTINI CHRISTIA and RUBEN ENIKOLPOV: Empowering women: Evidence from a field experiment in Afghanistan. Policy Research Working Paper; No. 6269, World Bank, 2012.
- ANGELA BONIFATI, GIOVANNI, CARSTEN LUTZ, WIM MARTENS, LARA MAZILU, NOMAN PATON, MARCOS ANTONIO VAZ SALLES, MARC H SCHOOL and YONGLUAN ZHOU: Holding a conference online and live due to COVID-19: arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07668. arXiv 2020.
- ANITA W. WOOLLEY, CHRISTOPHER F. CHABRIS, ALEX PENTLAND, NADA HASHIMIA and THOMAS W. MALONE: Evidence for a Collective Intelligence Factor in the Performance of Human Groups, Science2010, Vol. 330, Issue 6004, pp. 686–688.
- DAREN C. BRABHAM: Crowdsourcing as a Model for Problem Solving: An Introduction and Cases. Convergence 2008, Vol. 14, Issue 1, pp. 361-368.
- JAWAD HAQBEEN, TAKAYUKI ITO, RAFIK HADFI, TOMOHIRO NISHIDA, ZOIA SAHAB, SOFIA SAHAB, SHAFIQ ROGHMAL and RAMIN AMIRYAR: Promoting discussion with AI-based facilitation: Urban dialogue with Kabul city. In: The 8th ACM Collective Intelligence Conference. pp. 1-4. Online, 2020a.



REAL CORP

- JAWAD HAQBEEN, TAKAYUKI ITO, RAFIK HADFI, ZOIA SAHAB, SOFIA SAHAB, TOMOHIRO NISHIDA and RAMIN AMIRYAR: Usage and application of AI-based discussion facilitation system for urban renewal in selected districts of Kabul city. In: The 34th Annual Conference of the Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence. pp. 1-4. Online, 2020b.
- JAWAD HAQBEEN, SOFIA SAHAB and TAKAYUKI ITO: Insights from a large-scale discussion on COVID-19 in collective intelligence. In: The 19th IEE/WIC/ACM Joint International Conference on Web Intelligent and Intelligent Agent Technology. Melbourne, Australia, 14-17 December 2020c. pp. 546-553.
- JAWAD HAQBEEN, SOFIA SAHAB and TAKAYUKI ITO: A contribution to COVID-19 prevention through collaboration using conversational AI & social platforms. In: AI for Social Good Workshop. 2020d.arXiv preprint arXive:2106.11023. arXive 2021.
- JAWAD HAQBEEN, TAKAYUKI ITO and SOFIA SAHAB: Using Decision Support System to Enable Crowd Identify Neighbourhood Issues and Its Solutions for Policy Makers: An Online Experiment at Kabul Municipal Level. Sustainability, Vol. 13, Issue 10, pp. 5453. 2021.
- JOHN W. ATKINSON: Motives in Fantasy, Action and Society: A Method of Assessment and Study. Princeton, New York: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- JOSHUA INTRONE, ROBERT LAUBACHER, GARY OLSON and THOMAS MALONE: The climate colab: large scale modelbased collaborative planning. In: IEEE International Conference on Collaboration Technologies and Systems. 2011.
- KAZUMASA TAKAHASHI, TAKAYUKI ITO, TAKANORI ITO, EIZO HIDEMSHIMA, SHUN SHIRAMATSU, AKIHISA SENGOKU and KATSUHIDE FUJITA: Incentive mechanism based on quality of opinion for large-scale discussion support.In: The 4th ACM Collective Intelligence, 2016.
- MAISAARAH ABD HALIM, CIK FERESA MOHD FOOZY, ISREDZA RAHMI and AIDA MUSTAPHA: A review of the live survey application: SurveyMonkey and SurveyGizmo. International Journal on Informatics Visualization. 2018. Vol. 2, Issue 4-2, pp. 309-312.
- MARK KLEIN: How to harvest collective wisdom on complex problems: an introduction to the MIT deliberatorium. CCI working paper.
- MARK R. LEPPER, DAVID GREENE and RICHARD E. NISBETT: Undermining children's intrinsic interest with extrinsic reward: a test of the over justification hypothesis. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 1973, Vol. 28, Issue 1, pp. 129-137.
- MEHDI MOUSSAID, JULIANE E. KAMMER, PANTELIS P. ANALYTIS and HANSJORG NETH: Social Influence and the Collective Dynamics of Opinion Formation. PLOS ONE. 2013. Vol. 8, Issue 11.
- MICHELE VAN VOLKOM, JANICE C. STAPLEY and JOHNNA MALTER: Use and Perception of Technology: Sex and Generational Differences in a Community Sample. Educational Gerontology. 2013. Vol 39, Issue 10, pp. 729-740, DOI: 10.1080/03601277.2012.756322
- MOKTER HOSSAIN: Crowdsourcing: Activities, incentives and users' motivations to participate. In: The International Conference on Innovation Management and Technology Research, 2012a, pp. 501-506.
- MOKTER HOSSAIN: Users' Motivation to Participate in Online Crowdsourcing Platforms. In: The International Conference on Innovation Management and Technology Research, Malacca, Malaysia 2012b.
- NAVID TAVANAPOUR, MATHIS POSER and EVA A.C. BITNER: Supporting the Idea Generation Process in Citizen Participation-toward an Interactive System with a Conversational Agent as Facilitator. In: the 27th European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS), 2019.
- NSIA. Estimated population of Afghanistan 2020-2021. Available online: https://nsia.gov.af/library (accessed 5/28/2021)
- RAFIK HADFI, JAWAD HAQBEEN, TAKAYUKI ITO and SOFIA SAHAB: Argumentative conversational agents for online discussions. Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering, Vol. 30, Issue 3, pp. 1-15. 2021
- SOFIA SAHAB and TOSHIYUKI KANEDA: A Study on the Lifestyles and Daily Activities of Informal Settlers in Inner Kabul City. In Proceedings of the 21st International conference on Urban Planning, Regional Development and Information Society. Hamburg, Germany, 22–24 June 2016.
- SOFIA SAHAB and TOSHIYUKI KANEDA: A Study on Neighbourhood Functions of 'Gozars' in Kabul, Afghanistan. AIJ Trans. Journal of Architecture and Planning. 2015, 80, 2253–2260.
- TAKAYUKI ITO, YUMA IMI, TAKANORI ITO and EIZO HIDESHIMA: A facilitator-mediated largescale consensus support system. In: The 2nd ACM Collective Intelligence, 2014.
- TAKAYUKI ITO, RAFIK HADFI, JAWAD HAQBEEN, SHOTA SUZUKI, ATSUYA SAKA, NAOKI KAWAMURA and NAOKO YAMAGUCHI: Agent-based crowd discussion support systemand its societal experiments. In: Advances in Practical Applications of Agents, Multi-Agent Systems, and Trustworthiness. pp. 430-433. Online, 2020.
- TAO SUN, WEI CHEN, ZHENMING LIU, YAJUN WANG, XIAORUI SUN, MING ZHANG and CHIN-YEW LIN: Participation Maximization Based on Social Influence in Online Discussion Forums. In: The 5th International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and social media, Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp. 361-368. 2011.
- THOMAS MALONE, ROBERT LAUBACHER and CHRYSANTHOS DELLAROCAS: Harnessing Crowds: Mapping the Genome of Collective Intelligence. Available online: https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/66259 (accessed 5/28/2021)
- WEI DAI, YUGENG WANT, QUN JIN and JIANHUA MA: An integrated incentive framework for mobile crowdsourced sensing. Tsinghua Science and Technology 2016, Vol. 21, Issue 2, pp. 146-156.
- WORLD BANK: Available online: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SE.ADT.LITR.FE.ZS?locations=AF (accessed 5/28/2021)

289